

Stability of Arakelov bundles and tensor products without global sections

Norbert Hoffmann

February 1, 2008

Introduction

G. Faltings has proved that for each semistable vector bundle E over an algebraic curve of genus g , there is another vector bundle F such that $E \otimes F$ has slope $g - 1$ and no global sections. (Note that any vector bundle of slope $> g - 1$ has global sections by Riemann–Roch.) See [3] and [4] where this result is interpreted in terms of theta functions and used for a new construction of moduli schemes of vector bundles.

In the present paper, an arithmetic analogue of that theorem is proposed. The algebraic curve is replaced by the set X of all places of a number field K ; we call X an arithmetic curve. Vector bundles are replaced by so-called Arakelov bundles, cf. section 3. In the special case $K = \mathbb{Q}$, Arakelov bundles without global sections are lattice sphere packings, and the slope μ measures the packing density.

We will see at the end of section 4 that the maximal slope of Arakelov bundles of rank n without global sections is $d(\log n + O(1))/2 + (\log \mathfrak{d})/2$ where d is the degree and $\mathfrak{d}$ is the discriminant of K . Now the main result is:

Theorem 0.1 *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a semistable Arakelov bundle over the arithmetic curve X . For each $n \gg 0$ there is an Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n satisfying*

$$\mu(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) > \frac{d}{2}(\log n - \log \pi - 1 - \log 2) + \frac{\log \mathfrak{d}}{2}$$

such that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ has no nonzero global sections.

The proof is inspired by (and generalizes) the Minkowski–Hlawka existence theorem for sphere packings; in particular, it is not constructive. The principal ingredients are integration over a space of Arakelov bundles (with respect to some Tamagawa measure) and an adelic version of Siegel’s mean value formula. Section 2 explains the latter, section 3 contains all we need about Arakelov bundles, and the main results are proved and discussed in section 4.

This paper is a condensed and slightly improved part of the author’s Ph. D. thesis [6]. I would like to thank my adviser G. Faltings for his suggestions; the work is based on his ideas. It was supported by a grant of the Max-Planck-Institut in Bonn.

1 Notation

Let K be a number field of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$ and with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \cup X_\infty$ be the set of places of K ; this might be called an ‘arithmetic

curve' in the sense of Arakelov geometry. X_∞ consists of r_1 real and r_2 complex places with $r_1 + 2r_2 = d$. $w(K)$ is the number of roots of unity in K .

For every place $v \in X$, we endow the corresponding completion K_v of K with the map $|\cdot|_v : K_v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ defined by $\mu(a \cdot S) = |a|_v \cdot \mu(S)$ for a Haar measure μ on K_v . This is the normalized valuation if v is finite, the usual absolute value if v is real and its square if v is complex. The well known product formula $\prod_{v \in X} |a|_v = 1$ holds for every $0 \neq a \in K$. On the adèle ring $\mathbb{A}$, we have the divisor map $\text{div} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^X$ that maps each adèle $a = (a_v)_{v \in X}$ to the collection $(|a_v|_v)_{v \in X}$ of its norms.

Let $\mathcal{O}_v$ be the set of those $a \in K_v$ which satisfy $|a|_v \leq 1$; this is the ring of integers in K_v for finite v and the unit disc for infinite v . Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}$ denote the product $\prod_{v \in X} \mathcal{O}_v$; this is the set of all adeles a with $\text{div}(a) \leq 1$. By $D \leq 1$ for an element $D = (D_v)_{v \in X}$ of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^X$, we always mean $D_v \leq 1$ for all v .

We fix a canonical Haar measure λ_v on K_v as follows:

- If v is finite, we normalize by $\lambda_v(\mathcal{O}_v) = 1$.
- If v is real, we take for λ_v the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$.
- If v is complex, we let λ_v come from the real volume form $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. In other words, we take twice the usual Lebesgue measure.

This gives us a canonical Haar measure $\lambda := \prod_{v \in X} \lambda_v$ on $\mathbb{A}$. We have $\lambda(\mathbb{A}/K) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{d}}$ where $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes (the absolute value of) the discriminant. More details on this measure can be found in [12], section 2.1.

Let $V_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{(n/2)!}$ be the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $v \in X_\infty$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_v^n$ the unit ball with respect to the standard scalar product on K_v^n . Observe that this is *not* the n -fold cartesian product of $\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq K_v$. Similarly, $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}^n := \prod_{v \in X} \mathcal{O}_v^n$ is not the n -fold product of $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathbb{A}$. Its volume $\lambda^n(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}^n)$ is $V_n^{r_1} (2^n V_{2n})^{r_2}$.

2 A mean value formula

The following proposition is a generalization of Siegel's mean value formula to an adelic setting: With real numbers and integers instead of adeles and elements of K , Siegel has already stated it in [10], and an elementary proof is given in [7]. (In the special case $l = 1$, a similar question is studied in [11].)

Proposition 2.1 *Let $1 \leq l < n$, and let f be a nonnegative measurable function on the space $\text{Mat}_{n \times l}(\mathbb{A})$ of $n \times l$ adèle matrices. Then*

$$(1) \quad \int_{\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})/\text{Sl}_n(K)} \sum_{\substack{M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times l}(K) \\ \text{rk}(M)=l}} f(g \cdot M) d\tau(g) = \mathfrak{d}^{-nl/2} \int_{\text{Mat}_{n \times l}(\mathbb{A})} f d\lambda^{n \times l}$$

where τ is the unique $\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})$ -invariant probability measure on $\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})/\text{Sl}_n(K)$.

Proof: The case $l = 1$ is done in section 3.4 of [12], and the general case can be deduced along the same lines from earlier sections of this book. We sketch the main arguments here; more details are given in [6], section 3.2.

Let G be the algebraic group Sl_n over the ground field K , and denote by τ_G the Tamagawa measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$ or any quotient by a discrete subgroup. The two measures τ and τ_G on $\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})/\text{Sl}_n(K)$ coincide because the Tamagawa number of G is one.

G acts on the affine space $\text{Mat}_{n \times l}$ by left multiplication. Denote the first l columns of the $n \times n$ identity matrix by $E \in \text{Mat}_{n \times l}(K)$, and let $H \subseteq G$ be the stabilizer of E . This algebraic group H is a semi-direct product of Sl_{n-l} and

$\text{Mat}_{l \times (n-l)}$. Hence section 2.4 of [12] gives us a Tamagawa measure τ_H on $H(\mathbb{A})$, and the Tamagawa number of H is also one.

Again by section 2.4 of [12], we have a Tamagawa measure $\tau_{G/H}$ on $G(\mathbb{A})/H(\mathbb{A})$ as well, and it satisfies $\tau_G = \tau_{G/H} \cdot \tau_H$ in the sense defined there. In particular, this implies

$$\int_{G(\mathbb{A})/H(K)} f(g \cdot E) d\tau_G(g) = \int_{G(\mathbb{A})/H(\mathbb{A})} f(g \cdot E) d\tau_{G/H}(g).$$

It is easy to see that the left hand sides of this equation and of (1) coincide. According to lemma 3.4.1 of [12], the right hand sides coincide, too. $\square$

3 Arakelov vector bundles

Recall that a (euclidean) lattice is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module Λ of finite rank together with a scalar product on $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This is the special case $K = \mathbb{Q}$ of the following notion:

Definition 3.1 An *Arakelov (vector) bundle* $\mathcal{E}$ over our arithmetic curve $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \cup X_\infty$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ endowed with

- a euclidean scalar product $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{E},v}$ on the real vector space $\mathcal{E}_{K_v}$ for every real place $v \in X_\infty$ and
- an hermitian scalar product $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathcal{E},v}$ on the complex vector space $\mathcal{E}_{K_v}$ for every complex place $v \in X_\infty$

where $\mathcal{E}_A := \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \otimes A$ for every $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra A .

A first example is the trivial Arakelov line bundle $\mathcal{O}$. More generally, the trivial Arakelov vector bundle $\mathcal{O}^n$ consists of the free module $\mathcal{O}_K^n$ together with the standard scalar products at the infinite places.

We say that $\mathcal{E}'$ is a subbundle of $\mathcal{E}$ and write $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ if $\mathcal{E}'_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is a direct summand in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ and the scalar product on $\mathcal{E}'_{K_v}$ is the restriction of the one on $\mathcal{E}_{K_v}$ for every infinite place v . Hence every vector subspace of $\mathcal{E}_K$ is the generic fibre of one and only one subbundle of $\mathcal{E}$.

From the data belonging to an Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{E}$, we can define a map

$$\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{E},v} : \mathcal{E}_{K_v} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

for every place $v \in X$:

- If v is finite, let $\|e\|_{\mathcal{E},v}$ be the minimum of the valuations $|a|_v$ of those elements $a \in K_v$ for which e lies in the subset $a \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{K_v}$. This is the nonarchimedean norm corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$.
- If v is real, we put $\|e\|_{\mathcal{E},v} := \sqrt{\langle e, e \rangle_v}$, so we just take the norm coming from the given scalar product.
- If v is complex, we put $\|e\|_{\mathcal{E},v} := \langle e, e \rangle_v$ which is the square of the norm coming from our hermitian scalar product.

Taken together, they yield a divisor map

$$\text{div}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^X \quad e = (e_v) \mapsto (\|e_v\|_{\mathcal{E},v}).$$

Although $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is not an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra, we will use the notation $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}$, namely for the compact set defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}} := \{e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{A}} : \text{div}_{\mathcal{E}}(e) \leq 1\}.$$

Recall that these norms are used in the definition of the Arakelov degree: If $\mathcal{L}$ is an Arakelov line bundle and $0 \neq l \in \mathcal{L}_K$ a nonzero generic section, then

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}) := -\log \prod_{v \in X} \|l\|_{\mathcal{L},v}$$

and the degree of an Arakelov vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is by definition the degree of the Arakelov line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E})$. $\mu(\mathcal{E}) := \deg(\mathcal{E})/\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ is called the slope of $\mathcal{E}$. One can form the tensor product of two Arakelov bundles in a natural manner, and it has the property $\mu(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \mu(\mathcal{E}) + \mu(\mathcal{F})$.

Moreover, the notion of stability is based on slopes: For $1 \leq l \leq \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$, denote by $\mu_{\max}^{(l)}$ the supremum (in fact it is the maximum) of the slopes $\mu(\mathcal{E}')$ of subbundles $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ of rank l . $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be stable if $\mu_{\max}^{(l)} < \mu(\mathcal{E})$ holds for all $l < \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$, and semistable if $\mu_{\max}^{(l)} \leq \mu(\mathcal{E})$ for all l .

To each projective variety over K endowed with a metrized line bundle, one can associate a zeta function as in [5] or [1]. We recall its definition in the special case of Grassmannians associated to Arakelov bundles:

Definition 3.2 If $\mathcal{E}$ is an Arakelov bundle over X and $l \leq \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ is a positive integer, then we define

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}^{(l)}(s) := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} \\ \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}')=l}} \exp(s \cdot \deg(\mathcal{E}')).$$

The growth of these zeta functions is related to the stability of $\mathcal{E}$. More precisely, we have the following asymptotic bound:

Lemma 3.3 *There is a constant $C = C(\mathcal{E})$ such that*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}^{(l)}(s) \leq C \cdot \exp(s \cdot l\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E}))$$

for all sufficiently large real numbers s .

Proof: Fix $\mathcal{E}$ and l . Denote by $N(T)$ the number of subbundles $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ of rank l and degree at least $-T$. There are $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$N(T) \leq \exp(C_1 T + C_2)$$

holds for all $T \in \mathbb{R}$. (Embedding the Grassmannian into a projective space, this follows easily from [9]. See [6], lemma 3.4.8 for more details.)

If we order the summands of $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}^{(l)}$ according to their magnitude, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{E}}^{(l)}(s) &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} N\left(-l\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E}) + \nu + 1\right) \cdot \exp\left(s \cdot (l\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E}) - \nu)\right) \\ &\leq \exp(s \cdot l\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E})) \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_3}{\exp((s - C_1)\nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

But the last sum is a convergent geometric series for all $s > C_1$ and decreases as s grows, so it is bounded for $s \geq C_1 + 1$. $\square$

4 The main theorem

The global sections of an Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \cup X_\infty$ are by definition the elements of the finite set

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{A}.$$

Note that in the special case $K = \mathbb{Q}$, an Arakelov bundle without nonzero global sections is nothing but a (lattice) sphere packing: $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ means that the (closed) balls of radius $1/2$ centered at the points of the lattice $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{Z}$ are disjoint. Here larger degree corresponds to denser packings.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an Arakelov bundle over the arithmetic curve X . If an integer $n > \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ and an Arakelov line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ satisfy*

$$1 > \sum_{l=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{d}^{nl/2}} \cdot \lambda^{nl} \left(\frac{K^* \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}^{nl}}{K^*} \right) \cdot \zeta_\mathcal{E}^{(l)}(n) \exp(l \deg(\mathcal{L})),$$

then there is an Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n and determinant $\mathcal{L}$ such that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

Proof: Note that any global section of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is already a global section of $\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}$ for a unique minimal subbundle $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, namely the subbundle whose generic fibre is the image of the induced map $(\mathcal{F}_K)^{\text{dual}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_K$. We are going to average the number of these sections (up to K^*) for a fixed subbundle $\mathcal{E}'$ of rank l .

Fix one particular Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n and determinant $\mathcal{L}$. Choose linear isomorphisms $\phi_{\mathcal{E}'} : K^l \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_K$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{F}} : K^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_K$ and let

$$\phi : \text{Mat}_{n \times l}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F})_K$$

be their tensor product. Our notation will not distinguish these maps from their canonical extensions to completions or adeles.

For each $g \in \text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})$, we denote by $g\mathcal{F}$ the Arakelov bundle corresponding to the K -lattice $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}(gK^n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{A}$. More precisely, $g\mathcal{F}$ is the unique Arakelov bundle satisfying $(g\mathcal{F})_\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{A}$, $(g\mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{A}}$ and $(g\mathcal{F})_K = \phi_{\mathcal{F}}(gK^n)$. This gives the usual identification between $\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})/\text{Sl}_n(K)$ and the space of Arakelov bundles of rank n and fixed determinant together with local trivialisations.

Observe that the generic fibre of $\mathcal{E}' \otimes g\mathcal{F}$ is $\phi(g\text{Mat}_{n \times l}(K))$. A generic section is not in $\mathcal{E}'' \otimes g\mathcal{F}$ for any $\mathcal{E}'' \subsetneq \mathcal{E}'$ if and only if the corresponding matrix has rank l . So according to the mean value formula of section 2, the average number of global sections

$$\int_{\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})/\text{Sl}_n(K)} \text{card} \left(\frac{K^* \Gamma(\mathcal{E}' \otimes g\mathcal{F})}{K^*} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{E}'' \subsetneq \mathcal{E}'} \frac{K^* \Gamma(\mathcal{E}'' \otimes g\mathcal{F})}{K^*} \right) d\tau(g)$$

is equal to the integral

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{d}^{-nl/2} \int_{\text{Mat}_{n \times l}(\mathbb{A})} (f_K \circ \text{div}_{\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}} \circ \phi) d\lambda^{n \times l}.$$

Here the function $f_K : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is defined by

$$f_K(D) := \begin{cases} 1/\text{card}\{a \in K^* : \text{div}(a) \cdot D \leq 1\} & \text{if } D \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with the convention $1/\infty = 0$.

In order to compute (2), we start with the local transformation formula

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_v^{n \times l} (\{M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times l}(K_v) : c_1 \leq \|\phi(M)\|_{\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}, v} \leq c_2\}) = \\ \lambda_v^{nl} (\{M \in K_v^{nl} : c_1 \leq \|M\| \leq c_2\}) \cdot \|\det(\phi)\|_{\det(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}), v}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Regarding this as a relation between measures on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and taking the product over all places $v \in X$, we get the equation

$$(3) \quad (\text{div}_{\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}} \circ \phi)_* \lambda^{n \times l} = \exp \deg(\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}) \cdot (\text{div}_{\mathcal{O}^{nl}})_* \lambda^{nl}$$

of measures on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^X$. Hence the integrals of f_K with respect to these measures also coincide:

$$\int_{\text{Mat}_{n \times l}(\mathbb{A})} (f_K \circ \text{div}_{\mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}} \circ \phi) d\lambda^{n \times l} = \exp(n \deg(\mathcal{E}') + l \deg(\mathcal{F})) \cdot \lambda^{nl} \left(\frac{K^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^{nl}}{K^*} \right).$$

We substitute this for the integral in (2). A summation over all nonzero sub-bundles $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ yields

$$\int_{\text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})/\text{Sl}_n(K)} \text{card} \left(\frac{K^* \Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes g\mathcal{F}) \setminus 0}{K^*} \right) d\tau(g) = \sum_{l=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}^{(l)}(n) \exp(l \deg(\mathcal{F}))}{\mathfrak{d}^{nl/2}} \lambda^{nl} \left(\frac{K^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^{nl}}{K^*} \right).$$

But the right hand side was assumed to be less than one, so there there has to be a $g \in \text{Sl}_n(\mathbb{A})$ with $\Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes g\mathcal{F}) = 0$. $\square$

In order to apply this theorem, one needs to compute $\lambda^N(K^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N / K^*)$ for $N \geq 2$. We start with the special case $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Here each adele $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N$ outside a set of measure zero has a rational multiple in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N$ with norm 1 at all finite places, and this multiple is unique up to sign. Hence we conclude

$$\lambda^N \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N}{\mathbb{Q}^*} \right) = \frac{V_N}{2} \cdot \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \lambda_p^N(\mathbb{Z}_p^N \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p^N) = \frac{V_N}{2\zeta(N)}.$$

In particular, the special case $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}$ of the theorem above is precisely the Minkowski-Hlawka existence theorem for sphere packings [8], §15.

For a general number field K , we note that the roots of unity preserve $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N$. Then we apply Stirling's formula to the factorials occurring via the unit ball volumes and get

$$\lambda^N \left(\frac{K^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N}{K^*} \right) \leq \frac{\lambda^N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^N)}{w(K)} \leq \left(\frac{2\pi e}{N} \right)^{dN/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\pi N} \right)^{(r_1+r_2)/2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{r_2/2} w(K)}.$$

Using such a bound and the asymptotic statement 3.3 about $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}^{(l)}$, one can deduce the following corollary of theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2 *Let the Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over X be given. If n is a sufficiently large integer and μ is a real number satisfying*

$$\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E}) + \mu \leq \frac{d}{2}(\log n + \log l - \log \pi - 1 - \log 2) + \frac{\log \mathfrak{d}}{2}$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$, then there is an Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n and slope larger than μ such that $\Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is semistable, this gives the theorem 0.1 stated in the introduction. Here is some evidence that these bounds are not too far from being optimal:

Proposition 4.3 *Assume given $\epsilon > 0$ and a nonzero Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{E}$. Let $n > n(\epsilon)$ be a sufficiently large integer, and let μ be a real number such that*

$$\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E}) + \mu \geq \frac{d}{2}(\log n + \log l - \log \pi - 1 + \log 2 + \epsilon) + \frac{\log \mathfrak{d}}{2}$$

holds for at least one integer $1 \leq l \leq \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$. Then there is no Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n and slope μ with $\Gamma(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

Proof: Fix such an l and a subbundle $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ of rank l and slope $\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E})$. For each $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n and slope μ , we consider the Arakelov bundle $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{E}' \otimes \mathcal{F}$ of rank nl . By Stirling's formula, the hypotheses on n and μ imply

$$\exp \deg(\mathcal{F}') \cdot \lambda^{nl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}^{nl}) > 2^{nld} \cdot \mathfrak{d}^{nl/2}.$$

Now choose a K -linear isomorphism $\phi : K^{nl} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_K$ and extend it to adeles. Applying the global transformation formula (3), we get

$$\lambda^{nl}(\phi^{-1} \mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}) > 2^{nld} \cdot \lambda^{nl}(\mathbb{A}^{nl}/K^{nl}).$$

According to Minkowski's theorem on lattice points in convex sets (in an adelic version like [11], theorem 3), $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}}}) \cap K^{nl} \neq \{0\}$ follows. This means that $\mathcal{F}'$ — and hence $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ — must have a nonzero global section. $\square$

Observe that the lower bound 4.2 and the upper bound 4.3 differ only by the constant $d \log 2$. So up to this constant, the maximal slope of such tensor products without global sections is determined by the stability of $\mathcal{E}$, more precisely by the $\mu_{\max}^{(l)}(\mathcal{E})$.

Taking $E = \mathcal{O}$, we get lower and upper bounds for the maximal slope of Arakelov bundles without global sections, as mentioned in the introduction. In the special case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$ of lattice sphere packings, [2] states that no essential improvement of corollary 4.2 is known whereas several people have improved the other bound 4.3 by constants.

References

- [1] *V. V. Batyrev and Yu. I. Manin*, Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné des variétés algébriques. *Math. Ann.* **286** (1990), 27–43.
- [2] *J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane*, Sphere packings, lattices and groups. 3rd edition. Springer-Verlag, New York 1999.
- [3] *G. Faltings*, Stable G -bundles and projective connections. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **2** (1993), 507–568.
- [4] *G. Faltings*, Moduli-stacks for bundles on semistable curves. *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996), 489–515.
- [5] *J. Franke, Yu. I. Manin, and Yu. Tschinkel*, Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.* **95** (1989), 421–435.
- [6] *N. Hoffmann*, On vector bundles over algebraic and arithmetic curves. PhD thesis, University of Bonn, 2002. Bonner Mathematische Schriften 351.
- [7] *A. M. Macbeath and C. A. Rogers*, Siegel's mean value theorem in the geometry of numbers. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **54** (1958), 139–151.

- [8] *H. Minkowski*, Diskontinuitätsbereich für arithmetische Äquivalenz. J. Reine Angew. Math. **129** (1905), 220–274.
- [9] *S. H. Schanuel*, Heights in number fields. Bull. Soc. Math. France **107** (1979), 433–449.
- [10] *C. L. Siegel*, A mean value theorem in geometry of numbers. Ann. of Math. **46** (1945), 340–347.
- [11] *J. L. Thunder*, An adelic Minkowski-Hlawka theorem and an application to Siegel’s lemma. J. Reine Angew. Math. **475** (1996), 167–185.
- [12] *A. Weil*, Adeles and algebraic groups. Birkhäuser, Boston 1982.